

**FILE COPY**

Office - Supreme Court, U. S.

**FILED**

**NOV 18 1937.**

**CHARLES TEMORE CROPLEY  
CLERK**

IN THE

**Supreme Court of the United States**

OCTOBER TERM, 1937.

**No. 563.**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PETITIONER,

v.

L. MANUEL HENDLER, AS TRANSFEREE OF  
CREAMERIES, INC. (FORMERLY HENDLER  
CREAMERY CO., INC.)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE  
UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT.

**MEMORANDUM OF RESPONDENT IN OPPOSITION  
TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.**

✓ RANDOLPH BARTON, JR.,  
JOSEPH ADDISON,  
WILLIAM R. SEMANS,  
*Attorneys for Respondent.*

BARTON, WILMER, BRAMBLE, ADDISON & SEMANS,  
*Of Counsel,*

806 Mercantile Trust Building,  
Baltimore, Maryland.

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

OCTOBER TERM, 1937.

---

No. 563.

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PETITIONER,

v.

L. MANUEL HENDLER, AS TRANSFEREE OF  
CREAMERIES, INC. (FORMERLY HENDLER  
CREAMERY Co., INC.)

---

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE  
UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT.

---

**MEMORANDUM OF RESPONDENT IN OPPOSITION  
TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.**

---

In the notice served on Respondent of the filing of the above petition, it is stated "no brief in support will be filed".

Respondent, therefore, likewise refrains from filing a brief.

So far as concerns "the reasons for granting the Writ" set out on page 10 of the Petition, Respondent

respectfully submits that a comparison of the cases cited with the instant case will clearly demonstrate that there is in fact no conflict between the *decisions* in the respective cases, that no ground for granting the Writ therefore really exists, and that the conclusions reached by each of the Courts below which has fully considered the case do not in fact call for or require a further review.

Respectfully submitted,

RANDOLPH BARTON, JR.,

JOSEPH ADDISON,

WILLIAM R. SEMANS,

*Attorneys for Respondent.*

November, 1937.